

**Homelessness Strategy (City Executive Board Report 12th September 2012): Appendix 2
Risk Register**

No.	Risk Description Link to Corporate Obj	Gross Risk		Cause of Risk	Mitigation	Net Risk		Further Management of Risk: Transfer/Accept/Reduce/Avoid	Monitoring Effectiveness				Current Risk		
Risk Score Impact Score: 1 =Insignificant; 2 = Minor; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Major; 5 = Catastrophic Almost Certain						Probability Score: 1 = Rare; 2 = Unlikely; 3 = Possible; 4 = Likely; 5 =									
		I	P		Mitigating Control: Level of Effectiveness: (HML)	I	P	Action: Action Owner: Mitigating Control: Control Owner:	Outcome required: Milestone Date:	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	I	P
										☹	☹	☹	☹		
1.	Inadequate consultation to ensure relevance of homelessness strategy objectives`	3	3	Lack of consultation and communication of developing Strategy	Consultation plan to include stakeholders and public	2	2	Implement consultation plan Housing Strategy and Enabling Manager	Consultation Plan implemented and completed by November 2012						
2.	Failure to meet the objectives of the Homelessness Strategy	4	3	Ineffective monitoring of the strategic objective action plans.	Establish clear monitoring process within the Council's structure through Housing Board	3	2	.Ensure regular review through Housing Board Housing Strategy and Enabling Manager	Regular monitoring reports						
3.	Changes to housing policy or context and, local, regional and national, making objectives invalid or inappropriate.	3	3	Economic circumstances, government policy and legislation, political changes.	In addition to regular monitoring, review of the strategy and objectives to ensure it remains relevant to current circumstances.	3	3	Establish regular and robust monitoring arrangements for policy, context and legislative changes Housing Strategy and Enabling Manager	Mid point review completed by September 2015						

169

No.	Risk Description Link to Corporate Obj	Gross Risk		Cause of Risk	Mitigation	Net Risk		Further Management of Risk: Transfer/Accept/Reduce/Avoid	Monitoring Effectiveness					Current Risk	
Risk Score Impact Score: 1 =Insignificant; 2 = Minor; 3 = Moderate; 4 = Major; 5 = Catastrophic Almost Certain													Probability Score: 1 = Rare; 2 = Unlikely; 3 = Possible; 4 = Likely; 5 =		
.	Negative public and stakeholder understanding / perceptions of homelessness strategy objectives.	3	3	Failure to communicate objectives and impacts on homelessness in Oxford.	Establish clear communication strategy for the homelessness strategy for when it is adopted.	2	3	Implement communication strategy Housing Strategy & Enabling Manager	Communication Strategy in place by March 2013.						